

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,599	11/13/2003	Klaus Doelle	VOI0197.CON 9008		
7590 09/23/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Todd T. Taylor			CHIN, I	CHIN, PETER	
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			1731		
Avilla, IN 46	710		DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	F			
	Office Andieus Communication	10/712,599	DOELLE, KLAUS				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter Chin	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communicat NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	ulv 2004					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)							
٠ - ١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dianosit	ion of Claims						
•							
4)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5,□	•	without consideration.					
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
رہ	are subject to restriction and	or orodion roquiroment.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• = : :	•				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.	ı			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachmer		4) The top down Comment	nn. (DTO 412)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,673,211. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claimed gap falls within and obviously within the broader gap range claimed in the patent.
- 2. Claims 5-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 and 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,413,365 and 6,458,241. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of an obvious difference in scope; the present claims are open to the additional steps recited in the patents.
- 3. Claims 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klungness et al (Re 35,460).

The claims are rejected for the reasons given in the previous Office Action, mailed 3/30/2004.

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed unpersuasive of patentability.

The argument that the present application is a continuation of the application that mature into the 6,673,211 and that application that matured into 6,413,365 has the same filing date as the present application. However, possible term extensions can affect the date on which the patent expires. Therefore, the rejection is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,599

Art Unit: 1731

The claimed gap and rotational speed are considered obvious optimization of the gap between the rotor and stator and operating speed of the rotor in order to achieve proper reaction and formation of the filler-fiber composite.

It is urged that Klungness requires high shear in that the pulp crumbs must be sheared in order to shred the pulp crumbs between the stationary disc and revolving disc. There is no limitation in the claim of any specific range of shear that the present invention operates within. There is inherently some degree of shear present during the mixing of the fiber suspension in the present invention.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731